



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,500	01/26/2001	Yasuhiro Toda	TESJ.0025	6103
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
REED SMITH HAZEL & THOMAS LLP			GORT, ELAINE L	
Suite 1400 3110 Fairview Park Drive Falls Church, VA 22042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,500	TODA, YASUHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elaine Gort	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Page and it a communication (a) filed on 10 M	Joyambar 2002				
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 November 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· ·)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 25-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,			
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 25-32</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	• • • •				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/769,500 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The substitute Specification has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the hospitality industry" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 28 line 2 it is unclear what is meant by "a public organization of a company".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cumbers (US Patent 6,142,876) in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,500

Art Unit: 3627

Cumbers discloses the claimed device but is silent regarding the taking of facial images of customers every time the customers come to the store or facility and the use of a server. Cumbers discloses that it is old and well known in the art of security to use passive identification of all persons desiring to have access to a particular area (see column 2 lines 20-30 discussing Maeno U.S, Pat. No 5,283,644) to prevent criminal activity. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the customer information control system of Cumbers with the taking of images of all persons desiring to have access to the casino area, in order to prevent criminal activity within the casino area (as taught by Maeno in Cumbers).

Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously old and well known in the art of networked computer systems to use servers and personal computers to provide high speed, reliable remote data communication. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of Cumbers with a server and personal computers to provide high speed, reliable remote data communication of customer information and images.

Regarding the use of wireless communication, Internet communication and voice recognition Examiner takes Official Notice that wireless communication, Internet communication and voice recognition are old and well know in the art of communication and identification, respectively, to provide convenient, fast, inexpensive communication and to more accurately identify an individual. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the customer

Application/Control Number: 09/769,500 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

information control system of Cumbers with the wireless communication, Internet communication and additional voice recognition of Examiners Official Notice in order to provide convenient, fast, inexpensive communication and to improve the accuracy of identifying users.

Regarding access by the police to the system, Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of security services to provide data related to slot cheats or other undesirables to the police to provide them with criminal evidence and identification information. Note: Cumbers discloses the access to the system to an outside vendor such as a security service in column 5, lines 54+. It would further be obvious that the images of known slot cheats or other undesirables would come from criminal reports.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 25-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See details above for clarification.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

EG E S

January 14, 2004

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 1/15/04

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600